



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/455,534	12/07/1999	MICHAEL ZIRNGIBL	53470.000039	5280
29315	7590 05/10/2002			
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC ONE FOUNTAIN SQUARE 11911 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			CHOW, MING	
RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/455,534	ZIRNGIBL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ming Chow	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	arriiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	priority under 25 LLC C S 110/	a) (d) as (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority drider 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has been re-	ceived			

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.

٠, ١	
5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/455,534

Art Unit: 2645

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: system 100 that is mentioned on Line 15 Page 32 of the specification is not shown on the drawings; scheduler 1633 that is mentioned on Line 3 Page 37 of the specification is not shown on the drawings; database server 923 that is mentioned on Line 9 Page 51 of the specification is not shown on the drawings; system administrator 928 that is mentioned on Line 10 Page 51 of the specification is not shown on the drawings; A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: #140 of Figure 1a, #230 and #240 of Figure 1b, #633 of Figure 3b, #183 of Figure 3c, #949 of Figure 6c. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy (US-PAT-NO: 4,554,418), and in view of Wise et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,884,262). Toy teaches on Column 9 Line 65 the contact is initiated. The contact is initiated of Toy is the claimed "to initialize a communication". Toy also teaches on Column 6 Line 48 automatic answering device. The automatic answering device of Toy is the claimed call receiver to accept an inbound communication. Toy teaches on Column 9 Line 23 the necessary control functions associated with the contact sequences may be performed concurrently. The control of contact sequence of Toy is the claimed control of communications. Toy failed to teach generating markup documents, a storage device for storing the markup documents, to initialize a voice-enabled communication using the markup documents, to accept an inbound voice-enabled communication, and to control voice-enabled communication using the markup documents. However, Wise et al teach on Column 6 Line 55 a searcher returns an unordered list in HTML. The return of an unordered list in HTML of Wise is the claimed generating markup documents. Wise et al also teach on Column 7 Line 28standard formats for the computer files such as full text database. The database of Wise is the claimed storage device. Wise et al also teach on Column 2 Line 9 a standard file format such as HTML. The standard format such as HTML of

Art Unit: 2645

Wise is a markup document. Wise et al teach on Column 4 Line 12 text-to-speech conversion. The text-to-speech conversion of Wise is the claimed voice-enabled communication. Wise et al teach on Column 5 Line 48 Call Manager. The Call Manager of Wise is the claimed call receiver to accept an inbound communication. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy to generate markup documents, comprise a storage device for storing the markup documents, initialize a voice-enabled communication using the markup documents, accept an inbound voice-enabled communication, and control voice-enabled communication using the markup documents as taught by Wise et al such that the modified system of Toy would be able to support the integrated inbound and outbound voice service to the system users.

Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise, and in view of Freishtat et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,945,989). Toy and Wise failed to teach the call server comprises an authentication module operative to authenticate an inbound voice-enabled communication. However, Freishtat et al teach on Column 6 Line 31 and Column 8 Line 55 the PIN is used to authenticate the users and the login is confirmed. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise to comprise an authentication module operative to authenticate an inbound voice-enabled communication as taught by Freishtat et al such that the modified system of Toy/Wise would be able to support the authentication to the system users.

Art Unit: 2645

- Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Wise (US-PAT-NO: 5,884,262). Toy and Wise failed to teach a parser to extract text from the markup language documents and a text-to-speech engine for converting the extracted text into speech. However, Wise et al teach on Column 2 Line 29 the parser. Wise et al also teaches on Column 2 Line 30 a text-to-speech engine. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise to parse and extract text from the markup language documents and a text-to-speech engine for converting the extracted text into speech as taught by Wise such that the modified system of Toy/Wise would be able to support the parser and text-to-speech engine to the system users.
- Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Speicher (US-PAT-NO: 5,996,006). Toy and Wise failed to teach a search module operative to search markup language documents in the storage device. However, Speicher teaches on Column 5 Line 34 the DBS contains a processor and an SQL (Structured Query Language) relational database software. The SQL database software of Speicher is the claimed search module. Speicher also teaches on Column 5 Line 21 Ad database is comprised of files and ad response files. The database of Speicher is the claimed storage device. The ad response files of Speicher are the claimed markup language documents. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise to include a search module operative to search markup language documents stored in the storage device as taught by Speicher such that the modified system of Wise/Toy would be able to support the search module to the system users.

Art Unit: 2645

- 7. Claims 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy, Wise, and Speicher as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Speicher (US-PAT-NO: 5,996,006). Toy, Wise, and Speicher failed to teach the search module comprises an SQL engine operative to query the storage device. However, Speicher teaches on Column 5 Line 34 the SQL relational database software. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy/Wise/Speicher to include a search module which comprises an SQL engine as taught by Speicher such that the modified system of Freishtat/Speicher would be able to support the SQL engine to the system users.
- 8. Claims 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Speicher (US-PAT-NO: 5,996,006). Toy and Wise failed to teach the storage device comprises a relational database. However, Speicher teaches on Column 5 Line 34 the SQL relational database software. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise to include the storage device comprising a relational database as taught by Speicher such that the modified system of Freishtat would be able to support the relational database to the system users.
- 9. Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Wise (US-PAT-NO: 5,884,262). Toy and Wise failed to teach the markup language documents comprise TML documents. However, Wise et al teach on Column 2 Line 9 a standard document file format, such as HTML, which is used on the

Art Unit: 2645

World Wide Web. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise so that the markup language documents comprise TML documents as taught by Wise such that the modified system of Toy/Wise would be able to support the TML documents to the system users.

- 10. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Freishtat et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,945,989). Toy and Wise failed to teach the markup language documents comprise active voice pages. However, Freishtat et al teach on Column 6 Line 46 Web Page content allows the user to input graphics, audio, header, text blurbs any HTML code into the Web Database. The Web Page of Freishtat is the claimed markup language document. The audio input of Freishtat is the active voice page. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise and the markup language documents comprise active voice pages as taught by Freishtat such that the modified system of Toy/Wise would be able to support the active voice pages to the system users.
- Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy and Wise as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of Freishtat et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,945,989). Toy and Wise failed to teach the markup language documents comprise information accessed from an on-line analytical processing system. However, Freishtat et al teach on Column 2 Line 34 an on-line application generator. The on-line application generator of Freishtat is the claimed on-line analytical processing system. Freishtat et al also teach on Column 12 Line 59 a Web based

Art Unit: 2645

Application generator allows a user to map out a call flow and then assign that call flow to run on a specific 800 number. The mapped out call of Freishtat is the claimed information accessed from the on-line analytical processing system. It would have been obvious to one skilled at the time the invention was made to modify Toy and Wise and the markup language documents comprise information accessed from an on-line analytical processing system as taught by Freishtat such that the modified system of Toy/Wise would be able to support the information accessed from an on-line analytical processing system to the system users.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Klarlund et al (US-PAT-NO: 6,125,376) teach method and apparatus for voice interaction over a network using parameterized interaction definitions.
 - Herz et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,754,939) teach generation of user profiles for a system for customized electronic identification.
 - Logan et al (US-PAT-NO: 5,721,827) teach electronically distributing personalized information.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this application and office action should be directed to the examiner Ming Chow whose telephone number is (703) 305-4817. The examiner can normally

Art Unit: 2645

be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to TC2600's Customer Service Fax Number 703-872-9314.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit2645

Ming Chow

Allan Hoosain
ALLAN HOOSAIN
FRAMARY EXAMINER
Fan Tsang